

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/534,560	FATH, ANDREAS
	Examiner MICHAEL LA VILLA	Art Unit 1784

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) MICHAEL LA VILLA (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Paikoff, Attny. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 December 2010 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 2nd of Office Action mailed on 31 March 2010

Claims discussed:

18-26, 30, and 33-37

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend the claims as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment that accompanies the Notice of Allowability. The amendments to Claim 30 render Claim 30 definite. Withdrawn Claims 18-26 and 33-37 are canceled.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MICHAEL LA VILLA/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1784

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)